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## What is CONTEXT?

Context is a brief, easy-to-use planning resource that presents you with important and comparable information about your community, your congregation and your congregation's programs and ministries. Regardless of your data analysis experience or expertise, you can use Context to develop a quick and accurate grasp of your congregation's current ministry environment-a critical part of any future planning effort.

## Where does the information in Context Come From?

There are two primary sources for information presented in the Context report. Beginning on page three, Community information is provided by Percept, a nationally recognized and respected research organization that specializes in gathering and distributing census and other religious-oriented research information for churches. Begining on page seven, information about your congregation is derived from an extensive survey which was administered to your congregation and specially designed to gather data in a format which can be easily compared to your community.

## How is Context Organized?

There are seven parts to Context, each designed to address a critical planning question.

- Part 1 - The Community begins with the question: Who is out there? and provides a concise summary of the extensive census and other data collected from your community.
- Part 2- The Congregation uses the congregational survey data to respond to the question: Who are we?
- Part 3-The Comparison reviews the first two parts and addresses the question: How do we differ from the community?
- Part 4-Program Ratings returns to the congregational survey to focus on this question: How do we feel about our congregation's programs and ministries?
- Part 5-Program Preferences examines both the community and congregation to address the question: What do people want from a church?
- Part 6-Life's Satisfactions returns to the congregational survey and reports the results of this question: In what areas of our lives do we feel satisfaction or discontent?
- Part 7 - Health \& Wholeness is a final comparison of the congregation and community and speaks to this question: In what areas of their lives are people experiencing distress?
When you have completed your review of Context, you will not have the "final" answer to any of the above questions, but you will have a solid foundation upon which to base further reflection, discussion and analysis.


## What if I Have More Questions?

After you finish reading this Getting Started section, we recommend that you hold any further questions and jump right into to page three and work your way through the report to the end. Each part of Context begins with an introductory page that explains that part and provides discussion questions to assist you in your review. If you still have further questions atter you have read the report, please turn to the last section of Context which contains Common Questions and Answers.
With that, you are ready to begin your journey. We hope you enjoy learning more about your congregation and community and that you find it helpful as you reflect upon the future of your congregation's ministry in the 21st century.
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## The Community "Whois out there?"

## BACKGROUND

This part of Context provides you with an overview of the people living in your community. The geographical study area referred to as The Community is illustrated on the previous page in a Community Map. Please take a moment to review that page so that you are clear about the area being described.
The U.S. Census Bureau collects and distributes thousands of pieces of information about the population in your community. In addition, Percept enhances this census information with extensive religious research performed regularly throughout all regions of the U.S. In Part One, these information pieces have been consolidated and organized into 12 major theme areas referred to as GapThemes. Each theme attempts to address a particular question about the people in your community such as "How diverse are the people in the community?"This particular question is examined in GapTheme \# 2: Diversity. Each of the GapThemes has been carefully selected and crafted to aid you in developing a "big picture" view of the unique population in your community without having to wade through all of the raw census and other data yourself.

## How to Read Part One

Each GapTheme is presented in three parts:

- A summary bar which highlights the overall finding for the GapTheme
- Brief narrative text in a question and answer format on the left side of the page
- A simple table graph on the right side of the page showing some of the actual data figures behind the GapTheme If you are seeking a quick overview, you can focus on the summary bars for each GapTheme and you will have the essence of the findings. If a particular GapTheme captures your attention, you can gain further insight by reading the narrative text below the summary bar and by reviewing the table graph on the right side of the page.


## DISCUSSION

After you have reviewed this part, do this exercise:

- You or someone in your group describe the picture you have of your community in as few words as possible based upon the information in Part One.
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## How many people live in the defined study area?

Currently, there are 43,197 persons residing in the defined study area. This represents an increase of 7,183 or $19.9 \%$ since 2000. During the same period of time, the U.S. as a whole grew by $15.5 \%$.

## Is the population in this area projected to grow?

Yes, between 2017 and 2022, the population is projected to increase by $5.2 \%$ or 2,227 additional persons. During the same period, the U.S. population is projected to grow by $3.8 \%$.

Population Percentage Change

2. DVERSITY

VeryHich

## How much lifestyle diversity is represented?

The lifestyle diversity in the area is very high with 32 of the 50 U.S. Lifestyles segments represented. Of the six major segment groupings, the largest is referred to as Affluent Families which accounts for $56.3 \%$ of the households in the area. The top individual segment is Educated Mid-Life Families representing 34.0\% of all households.

## How do racial or ethnic groups contribute to diversity in this area?

Based upon the total number of different groups present, the racial/ethnic diversity in the area is very high. Among individual groups, Anglos represent $78.2 \%$ of the population and all other racial/ethnic groups make up just $21.8 \%$ which is well below the national average of $39 \%$. The largest of these groups, Hispanics/Latinos, accounts for 15.1\% of the total population. Asians are projected to be the fastest growing group increasing by $15.8 \%$ between 2017 and 2022.
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## GAPTHEME

SUMMARY
4. FAMILY STRUCTURE

VERY TRADITIONAL

Overall, how traditional are the family structures?
The area can be described as very traditional due to the above average presence of married persons and two-parent families.


Households with Children by Marital Status


## 5. EDUCATION

## SOMEWHATHIGH



## How educated are the adults?

Based upon the number of years completed and college enrollment, the overall education level in the area is somewhat high. While $94.1 \%$ of the population aged 25 and over have graduated from high school as compared to the national average of $86.6 \%$, college graduates account for $50.0 \%$ of those over 25 in the area versus $29.6 \%$ in the U.S.

Adult Population By Education Completed

6. PRIMARY CONCERNS

HOPES \& DREAMS


## Which household concerns are unusually high in the area?

Concerns which are likely to exceed the national average include: Neighborhood Gangs, Time for Recreation/Leisure, Achieving a Fulfilling Marriage, Parenting Skills, Social Injustice and Achieving Educational Objectives. As an overall category, concerns related to Hopes \& Dreams are the most significant based upon the total number of households and comparison to national averages.
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## What is the likely faith receptivity?

Overall, the likely faith involvement level and preference for historic Christian religious affiliations is extremely low when compared to national averages.


Households By Religious Preference


## EXIREMELY HIGH



## What is the likely giving potential in the area?

Based upon the average household income of \$111,498 per year and the likely contribution behavior in the area, the overall religious giving potential can be described as extremely high.
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## GAPIHEME

SUMMARY

## 10. CHURCH STYLE

## SOMEWHAT CONTEMPORARY



Do households prefer an overall church style which is more traditional or contemporary?
Based upon likely worship, music and architectural style preferences in the area, the overall church style preference can be described as somewhat contemporary.


## 11. Church Program Preference <br> RECREATION <br> 

Which general church programs or services are most likely to be preferred in the area?
Church program preferences which are likely to exceed the national average include: Cultural Programs (Music, Art, etc.), Sports and/or Camping Programs, Youth Social Programs and Marriage Enrichment Opportunities. As an overall category, programs related to Recreation are the most significant based upon total number of households and comparison to national averages.

Households By Church Program Preference Category


## 12. POIENIAL RESISTANCE TO CHANGE SOMEWHAT HIGH



## How much overall resistance to change is likely in the area?

Based upon the assumption that as a group of people become older and more diverse the potential for resistance to change becomes more significant, the area's potential resistance is likely to be somewhat high.

|  | Population By Age and Diversity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Age | Overall Lifestyle and <br> Racial/thnic Diversity |
| WELL ABOVE U.S. | 44.2 | 8 |
| Somewhat Above U.S. |  |  |
| Near U.S. AvERAGE |  |  |
| SOMEWHAT BELOW U.S. |  |  |
| WELL BELOW U.S. |  |  |
| U.S. AvERAGE | 39.1 |  |

#  <br> The Congregation <br> "Who are we?" 

## BACKGROUND

Part Two of Context provides you with an overview of the respondents who participated in the survey administered to your congregation.
Following the same design as Part One - The Community, the extensive information gathered in this congregational survey has been consolidated and organized into the same 12 GapThemes as were presented in Part One. This will make the comparison between the community and the congregation that begins in Part Three much easier and more insightful.

## How to Read Part Two

Each GapTheme is presented in three parts:

- A summary bar which highlights the overall finding for the GapTheme
- Brief narrative text in a question and answer format on the left side of the page
- A simple table graph on the right side of the page showing some of the actual data figures behind the GapTheme If you are seeking a quick overview, you can focus on the summary bars for each GapTheme and you will have the essence of the findings. If a particular GapTheme captures your attention, you can gain further insight by reading the narrative text below the summary bar and by reviewing the table graph on the right side of the page.


## DISCUSSION

After you have reviewed Part Two, do this exercise:

- You or someone in your group describe the picture you now have of your congregation in as few words as possible based upon the information in Part Two.
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## GAPTHEME <br> SUMMARY

4. FAMILY STRUCTURE

## EXTREMELY TRADITIONAL



Overall, how traditional are the family structures?
The congregational respondents can be described as extremely traditional due to the above average presence of married persons and two-parent families.


Congregational Households with Children by Marital Status


## 5. EDUCAITON <br> SOMEWHATHIGH

## How educated are the adults?

Based upon the number of years completed and college enrollment, the overall education level in the congregation is somewhat high. While $97.7 \%$ of the respondents aged 25 and over have graduated from high school as compared to the national average of $86.6 \%$, college graduates account for $62.5 \%$ of those over 25 in the congregation versus $29.6 \%$ in the U.S.


## 6. PRIMARY CONCERNS

## SPIRITUAL / PERSONAL

Congregational Adults By Education Completed



## Which household concerns are unusually high in the congregation?

Concerns which are likely to exceed the national average include: Finding Spiritual Teaching, Finding A Good Church, Finding Life Direction, Achieving a Fulfilling Marriage, Problems in Schools and Social Injustice. As an overall category, concerns related to Personal/Spiritual are the most significant based upon the total number of households and comparison to national averages.

Congregational Households By Primary Concerns Group


Part 2
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## What is the likely faith receptivity?

Overall, the likely faith involvement level and preference for historic Christian religious affiliations is extremely high when compared to national averages.

Congregational Households By Faith Involvement Level


## What is the likely giving potential in the congregation?

Based upon the average household income of $\$ 96,761$ per year and the likely contribution behavior in the congregation, the overall religious giving potential can be described as extremely high.

Congregational Households By Religious Giving Potential

|  | Average Annual <br> Household Income | Households Contributing More <br> Than \$500 per Year to Churches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WELL ABOVE U.S. | $\$ 96,761$ | $84 \%$ |
| SOMEWHAT ABOVE U.S. |  |  |
| NEAR U.S. AvERAGE |  |  |
| SOMEWHAT BELOW U.S. |  |  |
| WELL BELOW U.S. |  |  |
| U.S. AVERAGE | $\$ 80,853$ | $31 \%$ |

$\mathrm{Part}^{2}$
TheGongreyation
"Whoarewe?"
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## GAPIHEME

SUMMARY

## 10. CHURCH STYLE

## EXTREMELY CONTEMPORARY



Do the respondents prefer an overall church style which is more traditional or contemporary?
Based upon worship, music and architectural style preferences expressed by the congregation, the overall church style preference can be described as extremely contemporary.

Congregational Households By Church Styles Preferences


## 11.Ghurch Program Preference <br> SPIRITUAL DEVELOPMENT <br> 

Which general church programs or services are most likely to be preferred in the congregation?
Church program preferences which exceed the national average include: Sports and/or Camping Programs, Twelve-Step Programs, Food Pantry/Clothing Resources and Adult Theological Discussion Groups. As an overall category, programs related to Spiritual Development are the most significant based upon total number of households and comparison to national averages.

Households By Church Program Preference Category



## How much overall resistance to change is likely in the congregation?

Based upon the assumption that as a group of people become older and more diverse the potential for resistance to change becomes more significant, the congregation's potential resistance is likely to be about average.

Congregation By Age and Diversity

|  | Average Age | Overall Lifestyle and Racia/Ethnic Diversity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WELL ABOVE U.S. | 50.8 |  |
| Somewhat Above U.S. |  |  |
| Near U.S. Average |  |  |
| Somewhat Below U.S. |  |  |
| Well Below U.S. |  | 2 |
| U.S. Average | 39.1 | 5 |



## The Comparison <br> "How do we differ from the community?"

## BACKGROUND

Now that you have completed the first two parts of Context and have a better understanding of your community and congregation, an instinctive question is: How do these two groups of people compare to each other?
This part of Context addresses this question using the same 12 GapThemes you are now familiar with from Parts One and Two. The technical phrase for Part Three is Divergence Analysis; however, the technique employed is actually quite simple. For each GapTheme, the question asked is: In this particular theme area, to what extent are these two groups of people (i.e., the larger community and your congregation) different? The more the groups differ from one another, the higher the Divergence. The more the groups are alike, the lower the Divergence. If the two groups are identifical, this condition is referred to as Convergence. It is important to note that the condition of High Divergence is not necessarily negative, nor is Convergence between the groups necessarily positive. As you reflect upon each individual GapTheme, you will need to assess the extent to which you believe the Divergence or Convergence points to a challenge for the future of your congregation. This part of Context cannot and does not attempt to perform this critical analysis for you. Again, this part of Context provides a foundation for your further reflection, discussion and analysis.

## How to Read Part Three

In Part Three, you will find the same GapThemes presented in Parts One and Two. The difference is that in this part, the GapThemes have been sorted beginning with those areas where there is highest divergence between the community and the congregation and ending with the areas where the groups are most similar. Each GapTheme has these characteristics:

- A Divergence summary bar which captures the results of the comparison with a brief phrase (i.e., High Divergence) and a simple graphical bar referred to as a Divergence Indicator that illustrates the amount of divergence or convergence.
- Below each divergence summary bar, information from the Community and Congregation in parts one and two is repeated for reference.


## DISCUSSION

- Which GapThemes have the greatest divergence?
- Which GapThemes have the greatest convergence?
- Is this surprising to you? Why? or Why not?
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| GAPTHEME (Sorted By Divergence) | SUMMARY | DIVERGENCE INDICATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. PRIMARY CONCERNS | LOW DIVERGENCE | $\square \square^{\square}$ |
| Community | Hopes \& Dreams |  |
| Congregation | Spiritual / Personal |  |
| 8. POIENIIAL RESISTANCE TO CHANGE | LOW DIVERGENCE | $\square{ }^{+1}$ |
| Community | Somewhat High | $\nabla$ |
| Congregation | Average | $\text { Very }_{\text {Low }}^{\text {Somemat }}{ }_{\text {AvG. }}^{\text {Somewhat }} \underset{\text { HIGHy }}{\text { Ver }}$ |
| 9. Generation | COMVERGENCE | $\bullet$ |
| Community | Boomers |  |
| Congregation | Boomers |  |
| 10. EDUCATION | CONMERGENCE | - |
| Community | Somewhat High | $\nabla$ |
| Congregation | Somewhat High | ${ }^{\text {Ver }}$ Low ${ }^{\text {Somewhat }}$ avg. ${ }^{\text {Somemhat }}$ HIGHy |
| 11. RISC LEVEL (Stress Conditions) | CONMERGENCE | $\checkmark$ |
| Community | Very Low | $\nabla$ |
| Congregation | Very Low |  |
| 12. FINANCIAL SUPPORT POIENIIAL | CONVERGENCE | $\bullet$ |
| Community | Extremely High | F |
| Congregation | Extremely High | ${ }^{\text {Very }}$ Low ${ }^{\text {Somewhat }}$ AvG. ${ }^{\text {Somewhat }}$ HIGHy ${ }^{\text {Very }}$ |



## Program Ratings

## "How do we feel ahout our programs?"

## BACKGROUND

In Part Four, your attention is directed to the programs and ministries of your local congregation and the question is asked: How do we as a congregation feel about our various programs and ministries? Since the congregational survey requested that respondents rate each program on a continuum from very postive to very negative, the results of those ratings are presented here in this part of Context. The ratings of individual respondents have been combined to provide an overall rating for each program. If the ideal condition is defined as all respondents rating a particular program very positive, then it is a simple exercise to rank each program by the extent to which it differs from this ideal. The more negative the overall rating of a program, the higher the divergence from the ideal. The more positive the overall rating, the lower the divergence from the ideal. Upon completion of Part Four, you should have a clearer picture as to where the congregation perceives overall strengths and weaknesses in the programs and ministries of your congregation.

## How to Read Part Four

In this part of Context, the GapThemes are actually the individual programs for which rating information is available. As in Part Three, they have been sorted beginning with those areas where there is highest divergence between the ideal and the current ratings of the congregation and ending with the programs which exhibit the highest overall postive ratings and therefore are closest to convergence with the ideal condition. Each GapTheme has the following characteristics:

- A Divergence summary bar which captures the results of the comparison with a brief phrase (i.e., High Divergence) and a simple graphical bar referred to as a Divergence Indicator that illustrates the amount of divergence or convergence.
- Directly below the Divergence summary text, is a phrase indicating the percentage of the congregational respondents who rated that particular progrram. This allows you to contextualize the rating. For example, if only $10 \%$ of the respondents rated a particular program and it was rated very positive, you may want to explore further why $90 \%$ of the respondents were unfamiliar with the program and whether this is an area of concern or not.


## DISCUSSION

- Which GapThemes (i.e., Programs) have the greatest divergence?
- Which GapThemes (i.e., Programs) have the greatest convergence?
- Is this surprising to you? Why? or Why not?
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# Contert ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ paral <br> <br> Program Preferences <br> <br> Program Preferences <br> <br> "Whatdo people wantirom a church?" 

 <br> <br> "Whatdo people wantirom a church?"}

## BACKGROUND

Part Five of Context uses community preference data to examine the general type of church programs and services which are likely to be of interest to your community. In addition, you will see how your congregational survey respondents also rated the same program categories. In this case, divergence is assessed based upon whether or not your congregation has a program offering of some type in the category being rated by both groups. For instance, if both the community and congregation indicate that Youth Social Programs are of high importance and your church does not offer these, this would indicate High Divergence from their preferences. On the other hand, if your congregation offers this type of activities, there would be low divergence since the community and congregation have both rated Youth Social Programs as important. Your church leadership provided a checklist of which categories had actual ongoing programs which is the source of the Yes and No columns on the next two pages.
In actual practice, if may be rare for both the community and your congregation to be in complete agreement about the importance of a particular program or service category, which means you will have to reflect further on the extent to which divergence represents a potential opportunity or a potential threat to the future of your congregation.

## How to Read Part Five

In this part of Context, the GapThemes are actually the individual program and service areas for which rating information is available. Again, they have been sorted beginning with those areas where there is highest divergence between the preferences of the community and congregation and the actual program offerings of your church. Each GapTheme has the following characteristics:

- Two Divergence summary bars which capture the results of the analysis for both the community and congregation with a brief phrase (i.e., High Divergence) and a simple graphical bar referred to as a Divergence Indicator that illustrates the amount of divergence or convergence.
- The Divergence indicator bars are based upon whether your congregation offers a program or service in each particular category. If yes, then the bar is drawn from the right side of the graph. If no, then the bar is drawn from the left.


## DISCUSSION

- Which GapThemes have the greatest divergence?
- Which GapThemes have the greatest convergence?
- Are there any GapThemes where the congregation preferences differ greatly from those of the larger community?
- Is this surprising to you? Why?
does our Church Currentiy Have an Ongoing Program in this Category?


Community

| Community |
| :--- |
| Congregation |


3. Church-
Sponsored
DaySchool
4. Twelve Step
Recovery
Programs

Community
Congregation

5. Marriage
Enrichment
Opportunities

Community

6. Active
Retirement
Programs

| 7.Personal or <br> Family <br> Counseling |
| :--- |

8. Food and

Clothing
Resources
9. Parent

Training
Programs

## Community <br> Congregation


Community


Community
Congregation


Community


Does our Church Currenily Have an Ongoing Program in this Category?

| 10. Family |
| :--- |
| Activities |
| and Outings |$|$| 11. Youth Social |
| :---: |
| Programs |

13. Care for the
Terminally
III

Community
14. Day Care
Services
Community
15. Sports or
Camping
Programs
16. Adult
Theological
Discussion
Groups

| Community | LOW DIVERGENCE |
| :---: | :---: |
| Congregation | LOW DIVERGENCE |


17. Divorce
Recovery

| Community | LOW DIVERGENCE |
| :---: | :---: |
| Congregation | CONVERGENCE |
| Community | LOW DIVERGENCE |
| Congregation | CONVERCENCE |





## Life's Satisfactions

## "How do we feel aboutour lives?"

## BACKGROUND

Part Six of Context draws from your congregational surveys to present how your congregation feels about various areas of their lives. Respondents rated their degree of satisfaction or dissatisfaction with a broad range of life issues from relationships with spouses to general satisfaction with the church.
In the analysis of Part Six, the ideal is defined as all respondents very satisfied in each general area of life. The extent to which they have expressed dissatisfaction with a particular area of their lives represents divergence from that ideal. On the other hand, the more people who express satisfaction with a particular area, the closer to convergence with the ideal. In reality, it is very rare for any group of people to express uniformly high satisfaction; however, when you have completed this part of Context, you should have a more complete picture of which areas seem to be causing the most satisfaction and those which are causing the most discontent. With further reflection and discussion, some of these areas may represent potential opportunities to your congregation while others may represent potential threats to a viable future.

## How to Read Part Six

In this part of Context, the GapThemes are actual areas of life such as Relationship to God and My Health. The GapThemes continue to be sorted beginning with those areas where there is highest divergence between the the ideal of high satisfaction and the actual ratings of the congregation and ending with those areas where there seems to be the highest overall satisfaction. Each GapTheme has the following characteristics:

- A Divergence summary bar which captures the results of the analysis for the congregation with a brief phrase (i.e., High Divergence) and a simple graphical bar referred to as a Divergence Indicator that illustrates the amount of divergence or convergence.
- Directly below the Divergence summary text, is a phrase indicating the percentage of the congregational respondents who rated that particular area of life. This allows you to contextualize the rating. For example, if only $10 \%$ of the respondents rated a particular area and it was rated very dissatisfied, you may want to explore further whether this is actually a serious issue for the larger congregation or a serious issue for a smaller portion of the congregation.


## DISCUSSION

- Which GapThemes have the greatest divergence?
- Which GapThemes have the greatest convergence?
- Is this surprising to you? Why? or Why not?


## The Village Church
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| GAPIHEME (Sorted By Divergence) | SUMMARY <br> (How many respondents rated this area?) | DIVERGENCE INDICATOR (thow far are we foom feeling veny satisfied?) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Spiritual Development of MY FAMIIY | HIGH DIVERGENCE <br> (93\% of respondents rated this area) | \% V /1/1/1/ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | << Less Saisfied | More Saisified >> |
| 2. SHARING MY FAITH WITH OIHERS | MODERATE DIVERGENCE <br> ( $96 \%$ of respondents rated this area) |  | - |
|  |  | $\nabla$ |  |
|  |  | << Less Saisfied | More Saitisied >> |

3. IF DIVORCED, RELATIONSHIP WITH FORMER SPOUSE

MODERATE DIVERGENCE
$(20 \%$ of 1 respondents reted His area)


4. My Actual Ministry INVOLVEMENT IN CHURCH

## Moderate divercence

( $97 \%$ of respondents rated this area)

5. Personal Bible Study
and Prayer

MODERATE DIVERGENCE
( $91 \%$ of respondents rated this area)

6. RELATIONSHIP WITH MY EXIENDED FAMIIY

MODERATE DIVERGENCE


7. RELATIONSHIP WITH STEPCHILDREN

MODERATE DIVERGENCE
( $30 \%$ of respondents rated this area)

8. My Health

Moderate Divergence
( $97 \%$ of respondents rated this area)

9. PERSONAL SPIRITUAL GROWIH

LOW DIVERGENCE
97\% of respondents rated this area)


## 10. MY PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUAIION

LOW DIVERGENCE
( $98 \%$ of respondents rated this area)
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| GAPTHEME <br> (Sorted By Divergence) | SUMMARY <br> (How many respondents rated this area?) | DIVERGENCE INDICATOR <br> (How far are we from feeling very satisfied?) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11. PERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH PEOPLE IN CHURCH | LOW DIVERGENCE <br> (97\% of respondents rated this area) |  |
| 12. My CHIIDREN'S EDUCAIIONAL ENVRONMENT | LOW DIVERGENCE <br> (65\% of respondents rated this area) |  |
| 13. MY J OB/CAREER | LOW DIVERGENCE <br> (71\% of respondents rated this area) |  |
| 14. MY FAMILY LIFE | LOW DIVERGENCE <br> ( $99 \%$ of respondents ratad this area) |  |
| 15. QUALITY OF LIFE IN MY COMMUNITY | LOW DIVERGENCE <br> ( $99 \%$ of respondents rated this area) |  |
| 16. RELAIIONSHIP WITH COD | LOW DIVERGENCE <br> (97\% of respondents rated this area) |  |
| 17. REIAIIONSHIP WIIH MY CHILDREN | LOW DIVERGENCE <br> ( $81 \%$ of respondents rated this area) |  |
| 18. MY EDUCAIION | LOW DIVERGENCE <br> ( $98 \%$ of raspondents rated this area) |  |
| 19. MARRIAGE RELATIONSHIP WITH MY SPOUSE | CONVERCENCE <br> ( $84 \%$ of respondents rated this area) |  |
| 20. GENERAL SATISFACTION WITH THE CHURCH | CONVERGENCE <br> ( $99 \%$ of respondents rated this area) |  |

# Colitext ${ }^{2016}$ $\left.\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ <br> <br> Health \& Wholeness <br> <br> Health \& Wholeness <br> <br> "Where are people distressed?" 

 <br> <br> "Where are people distressed?"}

## BACKGROUND

Congratulations! You have made it to the final section of Context. In Part Seven, community concern data is evaluated to identify those areas of life in which people in the community are likely to be experiencing concern and distress. At the same time, you will see the congregation's level of concern in the same area and can easily compare it with the community. In this part of Context, the ideal is defined as no distress in a particular theme area. The extent to which the community or congregation expresses concern about that area represents divergence from this ideal. The information in this part is based entirely upon the Primary Concerns section of the survey. It does not include any of the information from Part 6 which is available only for the congregation.
When you have completed your review of Part Seven, you will have a clearer picture of the areas of life about which the community and congregation are most concerned. With further reflection and discussion, you may again find ministry opportunities as well as potential threats to your congregation's future.

Hopefully, if you have thoroughly studied and reflected upon the previous parts of Context, you will begin to visualize a larger "picture" emerging which trancends the specific details of individual GapThemes or pieces of data. This larger picture is your emerging mental portrait of The Gap between "what is" and "what ought to be" as it uniquely appears in your congregation's mission context.

## How to Read Part Seven

In this part of Context, the GapThemes are areas of primary concern which have been organized into seven main categories. Again, they have been sorted beginning with those areas where there is highest divergence from the ideal and ending with those areas with the highest convergence with the ideal. Each GapTheme has the following characteristics:

- Two Divergence summary bars which capture the results of the analysis for both the community and congregation with a brief phrase (i.e., High Divergence) and a simple graphical bar referred to as a Divergence Indicator that illustrates the amount of divergence or convergence.
- Under each GapTheme name is a list of all the primary concerns which were combined to create the larger category.


## DISCUSSION

When you have completed the Context report, discuss the following questions:

- What are the 3 to 5 most significant discoveries you have made?
- What 3 to 5 findings concern you the most?
- What are the 3 to 5 most significant opportunities you see for your congregation?

DIVERGENCE BETWEEN CURRENT CONDITION AND "THE IDEAL"

1. OPPORTUNITIES

- Retirement Opportunities
- Long-term Financial

Security
Satisfying Job/Career

- Time for

Recreation/Leisure
Community
Congregation $\square$


## 2. PERSONAL PHYSICAL HEALTH

- Personal Health
- Health Insurance
- Healthcare
- Stress
- Drug/Alcohol Abuse

Community
Congregation

3. RELATIONSHIPS

- Divorce
- Companionship
- Parenting Skills
- Aging Parent Care
- Abusive Relationships
- Fulfilling Marriage
- Racial/Ethnic Prejudice


## $\square$

Community
Congregation
MODERAIE DIVERGENCE


## Our Ministry Environment is Complex and Mult-Faceted. How Can Context Summarize it in J ust a Few Pages?

Studied in enough detail, every organizational environment can seem incomprehensibly complex. However, if you hope to make competent decisions about future direction, you must find a way to reduce the details (i.e., complexity) to a manageable level. Clearly, the only way Context can assist you in this process is by masking the many unnecessary details and focusing your attention on a smaller number of important themes in a logical sequence. Percept refers to this approach as the Percept Information Principle.

## What is the Percept Information Principle?

The Percept Information Principles states that information must answer the right planning question at the appropriate time for meaningful perceptions to be formed. In any planning or reflection process, there is always more information obtainable than your group can hope to make sense of. The challenge is to gather and review the right information at the right time to develop accurate and useful perceptions about your environment.

## So We Need Manageable Detall, Who Decides What Themes are in Context?

Since 1987, Percept has joined with over 40,000 local congregations and hundreds of church governing bodies to assist them in better understanding their environmental context. By creatively using census and other demographic data, custom research and local surveys, Percept has developed numerous tools and methodologies that have proven themselves time and again to be the most effective resources available for church planning and development. The GapThemes and Divergence Analysis used in Context are examples of these tools.

## Where does Percept Get Their Information?

The community information provided in Context is derived from many reliable sources. Much of the information comes from the latest census conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau. Demographic updates and projections are provided by Claritas, the recognized leader in the demographic industry for over 20 years. Religious-related data is derived from Percept's exclusive Ethos database, the only comprehensive source of local community religious projections available in the United States. The high value and accuracy of the Ethos database has contributed to Percept being honored as one of the top 100 Information Resource firms in the country by American Demographics magazine.

## What is a GapTheme?

A GapTheme is simply a piece of information which can be easily compared between two groups such as a congregation and the community or between a group and some "ideal" condition. For example, the overall education level of the total population within the community can easily be compared to the education level of your congregation. Doing so, you may discover that they are at similar levels or that one is different from the other. This comparison is referred to as Divergence Analysis.

## How are Gapthemes Created?

It is important to note that many of the different GapThemes in the Context report are actually created from several different and more detailed variables. For instance, GapTheme 5: Education Level is
computed using three different sub-variables: High School Graduates, College Graduates and the percentage of the population enrolled in college. While you can easily review the details of each of these three breakdowns (for both the congregation and the community), your strategic planning process will most likely bog down if you spend much time in the details beyond the overall GapTheme. In addition, your comparison between the congregation and community will be more burdensome, since you have many more comparisons to make. Keep in mind that the goal behind the design of Context is not to exhaust you with detail, but rather provide the overall essence of each theme area, so you can quickly gain the insight you need and move on to the next issue.

## What is Divergence Analysis?

Divergence Analysis is the comparison of two related items (i.e., GapThemes) to assess the extent to which they are alike or different. If they are scored identical, this condition is referred to as Convergence. The extent to which they might differ is referred to as Divergence. If the difference is slight, it is Low Divergence. If the difference is substantial, it is called High Divergence. The ultimate purpose of Divergence Analysis is to clarify possible ministry opportunities and uncover possible threats to your congregation's future viability.

## Is There any More Detailed Community or Congregational Informaton Available?

Context is delivered with a companion report called Reference which contains additional detail about each GapTheme.

## What if I Have Additional Questions?

Context is shipped with a companion resource called Sourcebook which describes data sources and explains various methodologies used in Context in much greater detail. Please refer to SourceBook if you have additional questions not covered here.
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Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes

| POPULATION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Indicates a consistent upward trend <br> $\downarrow$ Indicates a consistent downward trend | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \text { Census } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Census } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Update } \end{gathered}$ | $2022$ <br> Projection |
| - Population | 36,014 | 40,626 | 43,197 | 45,424 |
| Population Change |  | 4,612 | 2,571 | 2,227 |
| Percentage Change |  | 12.8\% | 6.3\% | 5.2\% |
| Average Annual Growth Rate |  | 1.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
| - Density (Pop. per square mile) | 164 | 186 | 197 | 207 |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |
| $\triangle$ Households | 14,133 | 16,448 | 17,513 | 18,428 |
| Household Change |  | 2,315 | 1,065 | 915 |
| Percentage Change |  | 16.4\% | 6.5\% | 5.2\% |
| Average Annual Growth Rate |  | 1.6\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
| $\downarrow$ Persons Per Household | 2.52 | 2.44 | 2.44 | 2.43 |

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Census } \end{gathered}$ |  | $2017$ <br> Update |  | $2022$ <br> Projection |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $\downarrow$ White (Non-Hispanic) | 32,288 | 79.5\% | 33,778 | 78.2\% | 35,067 | 77.2\% |
| $\downarrow$ African-American (Non-Hisp) | 228 | 0.6\% | 240 | 0.6\% | 248 | 0.5\% |
| - Hispanic/Latino | 5,890 | 14.5\% | 6,503 | 15.1\% | 7,056 | 15.5\% |
| - Asian/Other (Non-Hisp) | 2,218 | 5.5\% | 2,678 | 6.2\% | 3,049 | 6.7\% |
| POPULATION BY GENDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Female | 19,860 | 48.9\% | 21,336 | 49.4\% | 22,529 | 49.6\% |
| $\downarrow$ Male | 20,768 | 51.1\% | 21,861 | 50.6\% | 22,896 | 50.4\% |
| POPULATION BY GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Delta$ Generation Z (Bom 2002 and later) | 3,728 | 9.2\% | 6,500 | 15.0\% | 8,793 | 19.4\% |
| $\downarrow$ Millenials (Born 1982 to 2001) | 9,385 | 23.1\% | 9,904 | 22.9\% | 10,377 | 22.8\% |
| Survivors (Born 1961 to 1981) | 10,772 | 26.5\% | 11,312 | 26.2\% | 12,248 | 27.0\% |
| $\downarrow$ Boomers (Born 1943 to 1960) | 11,974 | 29.5\% | 12,386 | 28.7\% | 11,715 | 25.8\% |
| $\downarrow$ Silents (Born 1925 to 1942) | 4,329 | 10.7\% | 3,026 | 7.0\% | 2,292 | 5.0\% |
| $\downarrow$ Builders (Born 1924 and earlier) | 491 | 1.2\% | 75 | 0.2\% | 4 | 0.0\% |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\triangle$ Average Age |  | 41.8 |  | 44.2 |  | 45.5 |
| $\triangle$ Median Age |  | 44.2 |  | 47.5 |  | 49.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Household Income |  | \$115,079 |  | \$111,498 |  | \$118,149 |
| Median Household Income |  | \$87,510 |  | \$85,752 |  | \$90,466 |
| Per Capita Income |  | \$46,591 |  | \$45,204 |  | \$47,932 |
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Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes

HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME

- Indicates a consistent upward trend
$\downarrow$ Indicates a consistent downward trend
- $\$ 150,000$ or more
$\downarrow$ \$100,000 to \$149,999
\$75,000 to \$99,999
$\downarrow$ \$50,000 to \$74,999
$\downarrow$ \$35,000 to \$49,999
$\downarrow$ \$25,000 to \$34,999
\$15,000 to \$24,999
Under \$15,000
Before Formal Schooling (Age 0-4)
$\downarrow$ Required Formal Schooling (5-17)
College Years, Career Starts (18-24)
- Singles and Young Families (25-34)
$\downarrow$ Families, Empty Nesters (35-54)
Enrichment Years Singles/Couples (55-64)
- Retirement Opportunities (65+)

| 2010 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Census | Update |


| Number | Percent |
| ---: | ---: |
| 3,392 | $20.6 \%$ |
| 3,362 | $20.4 \%$ |
| 2,021 | $12.3 \%$ |
| 2,914 | $17.7 \%$ |
| 1,755 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 1,271 | $7.7 \%$ |
| 862 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 870 | $5.3 \%$ |

Update
Number Percent

POPULATION BY PHASE OF LIFE

| 1,870 | $4.6 \%$ | 1,748 | $4.0 \%$ | 1,849 | $4.1 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6,345 | $15.6 \%$ | 5,770 | $13.4 \%$ | 5,435 | $12.0 \%$ |
| 3,140 | $7.7 \%$ | 3,527 | $8.2 \%$ | 3,596 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 4,208 | $10.4 \%$ | 4,932 | $11.4 \%$ | 5,532 | $12.2 \%$ |
| 11,814 | $29.1 \%$ | 10,220 | $23.7 \%$ | 9,652 | $21.2 \%$ |
| 7,013 | $17.3 \%$ | 7,785 | $18.0 \%$ | 7,875 | $17.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 , 2 8 8}$ | $15.5 \%$ | 9,219 | $21.3 \%$ | 11,490 | $25.3 \%$ | POPULATION BY AGE (DETAIL)


| 1,870 | $4.6 \%$ | 1,748 | $4.0 \%$ | 1,849 | $4.1 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2,323 | $5.7 \%$ | 1,923 | $4.5 \%$ | 1,872 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 2,455 | $6.0 \%$ | 2,327 | $5.4 \%$ | 2,043 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 1,567 | $3.9 \%$ | 1,520 | $3.5 \%$ | 1,520 | $3.3 \%$ |
| 1,344 | $3.3 \%$ | 1,502 | $3.5 \%$ | 1,509 | $3.3 \%$ |
| 1,796 | $4.4 \%$ | 2,025 | $4.7 \%$ | 2,087 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 2,198 | $5.4 \%$ | 2,475 | $5.7 \%$ | 2,985 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 2,010 | $4.9 \%$ | 2,457 | $5.7 \%$ | 2,547 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 2,296 | $5.7 \%$ | 2,134 | $4.9 \%$ | 2,325 | $5.1 \%$ |
| 2,756 | $6.8 \%$ | 2,190 | $5.1 \%$ | 2,165 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 3,270 | $8.0 \%$ | 2,760 | $6.4 \%$ | 2,182 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 3,492 | $8.6 \%$ | 3,136 | $7.3 \%$ | 2,980 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 3,603 | $8.9 \%$ | 3,796 | $8.8 \%$ | 3,674 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 3,410 | $8.4 \%$ | 3,989 | $9.2 \%$ | 4,201 | $9.2 \%$ |
| 2,448 | $6.0 \%$ | 3,371 | $7.8 \%$ | 3,864 | $8.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 6 3 1}$ | $4.0 \%$ | 2,748 | $6.4 \%$ | 3,920 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 1,664 | $4.1 \%$ | 2,355 | $5.5 \%$ | 2,820 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 545 | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ |  | 745 | $\mathbf{1 . 7} \%$ | 88 |


|  |  |  | The <br> "W |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared For The Village Chu Date: $12 / 22 / 2016$ Incline McCourry B |  |  | y Area Defini cted ZIP Co |  |
|  | Study |  |  | U.S. |
| - Indicates the study area percentage is more than 1.2 times the U.S. average <br> $\downarrow$ Indicates the study area percentage is less than 0.8 times the U.S. average | Number | Percent | U.S. Average | Comparative Index |
| MARITAL STAT |  |  |  |  |
| Marital Status All Persons 15 and Older (2017) | 37,201 |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ Single (Never Married) | 9,725 | 26.1\% | 33.0\% | 79 |
| Married | 21,734 | 58.4\% | 50.1\% | 117 |
| Divorced/Widowed | 5,740 | 15.4\% | 16.9\% | 91 |
| Marital Status Females 15 and Older (2017) | 18,404 |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ Single (Never Married) | 3,718 | 20.2\% | 29.9\% | 68 |
| - Married | 11,260 | 61.2\% | 48.7\% | 126 |
| Divorced/Widowed | 3,426 | 18.6\% | 21.4\% | 87 |
| Marital Status Males 15 and Older (2017) | 18,797 |  |  |  |
| Single (Never Married) | 6,007 | 32.0\% | 36.2\% | 88 |
| Married | 10,476 | 55.7\% | 51.5\% | 108 |
| Divorced/Widowed | 2,314 | 12.3\% | 12.3\% | 100 |
| FAMILY STRUCT |  |  |  |  |
| Households By Type (2017) | 17,513 |  |  |  |
| Married Couple | 9,997 | 57.1\% | 48.5\% | 118 |
| Other Family - Male Head of Household | 707 | 4.0\% | 4.9\% | 82 |
| $\downarrow$ Other Family - Female Head of Household | 1,096 | 6.3\% | 13.0\% | 48 |
| Non Family - Male Head of Household | 3,080 | 17.6\% | 15.8\% | 111 |
| Non Family - Female Head of Household | 2,633 | 15.0\% | 17.7\% | 85 |
| Households With Children 0 to 18 (2017) | 4,845 |  |  |  |
| Married Couple Family | 3,652 | 75.4\% | 65.3\% | 115 |
| Other Family - Male Head of Household | 454 | 9.4\% | 8.5\% | 111 |
| $\downarrow$ Other Family - Female Head of Household | 680 | 14.0\% | 25.3\% | 55 |
| $\triangle$ Non Family | 58 | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 124 |
| Population By Household Type (2017) | 43,197 |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ Group Quarters | 499 | 1.2\% | 2.5\% | 46 |




EMPLOYMENT


Date: 12/22/2016
$\square$
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## SEGMENT GROUPS

## Group Name

Please see accompanying guide for a complete description of each segment
No. Groups are sorted by number of households in study area

1 Affluent Families (segments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 14)
2 Middle American Families ( $9,10,11,16,17,18,23,25$ and 28)
3 Young And Coming (8, 12, 13, 15, 19, 34, 37, 39 and 47)
4 Rural Families (27, 26, 29, 33, 35 and 38 )
5 Senior Life ( $7,20,21,22,30$ and 31 )
6 Ethnic And Urban Diversity (24, 32, 36, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46 and 48)

Study Area

## Households Percent.

|  |  |  | Index |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9,862 | $56.3 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | 373 |
| 3,128 | $17.9 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | 57 |
| 1,890 | $10.8 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | 74 |
| 781 | $4.5 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | 34 |
| 722 | $4.1 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | 60 |
| 480 | $2.7 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | 15 |

## INDIVIDUAL SEGMENTS

| No. | Segment Name <br> Segments are sorted by number of households in the study area. | Study Area |  | U.S. <br> Average | U.S. <br> Comparative Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households | Percent. |  |  |
| 4 | Educated Mid-Life Families | 5,959 | 34.0\% | 3.4\% | 997 |
| 15 | Reliable Young Starters | 1,767 | 10.1\% | 4.3\% | 237 |
| 10 | Suburban Mid-Life Families | 1,720 | 9.8\% | 5.5\% | 177 |
| 14 | Secure Mid-Life Families | 1,650 | 9.4\% | 0.7\% | 1438 |
| 6 | Prosperous New Country Families | 1,521 | 8.7\% | 2.1\% | 407 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | Unclassified Households | 608 | 3.5\% | 0.2\% | 1597 |
| 16 | Established Country Families | 542 | 3.1\% | 6.4\% | 48 |
| 35 | Laboring Country Families | 519 | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 108 |
| 7 | Prosperous and Mature | 384 | 2.2\% | 0.5\% | 407 |
| 1 | Traditional Affluent Families | 382 | 2.2\% | 3.5\% | 63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Working Country Consumers | 289 | 1.7\% | 4.1\% | 40 |
| 32 | Working Urban Life | 270 | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 93 |
| 23 | Established Empty-Nesters | 244 | 1.4\% | 3.4\% | 41 |
| 40 | Surviving Urban Diversity | 205 | 1.2\% | 4.0\% | 29 |
| 20 | Cautious and Mature | 189 | 1.1\% | 2.6\% | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Mid-Life Prosperity | 181 | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 68 |
| 5 | Prosperous Diversity | 157 | 0.9\% | 3.1\% | 29 |
| 18 | Working Urban Families | 139 | 0.8\% | 4.0\% | 20 |
| 28 | Building Country Families | 111 | 0.6\% | 2.8\% | 23 |
| 26 | Working Suburban Families | 106 | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 507 |

ate: 12/22/2016
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Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes

| No. | Individual Segment Name <br> Segments are sorted by number of households in the study area. | Study Area |  | U.S. <br> Average | U.S. <br> Comparative Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households | Percent. |  |  |
| 27 | Country Family Diversity | 99 | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 166 |
| 9 | Educated Working Families | 82 | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 557 |
| 21 | Mature and Stable | 66 | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 66 |
| 8 | Rising Potential Professionals | 51 | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 12 |
| 49 | Exception Households | 51 | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 117 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Working Country Families | 49 | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 29 |
| 19 | Educated and Promising | 46 | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 335 |
| 22 | Mature and Established | 33 | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 11 |
| 30 | Urban Senior Life | 28 | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 19 |
| 39 | New Beginning Urbanites | 25 | 0.1\% | 2.8\% | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Mature Country Families | 22 | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 23 |
| 2 | Professional Affluent Families | 12 | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 9 |
| 33 | Laboring Rural Families | 8 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 33 |
| 45 | Struggling Urban Diversity | 4 | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 1 |
| 11 | Young Suburban Families | 1 | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Educated New Starters | 1 | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0 |
| 44 | Laboring Urban Life | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 8 |
| 38 | Rural Working Families | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 0 |
| 24 | Metro Multi-Ethnic Diversity | 0 | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0 |
| 46 | Struggling Black Households | 0 | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Large Young Families | 0 | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0 |
| 41 | Struggling Hispanic Households | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0 |
| 42 | Laboring Rural Diversity | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0 |
| 48 | Struggling Urban Life | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0 |
| 47 | University Life | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | College and Career Starters | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0 |
| 37 | Rising Multi-Ethnic Urbanites | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0 |
| 43 | Laboring Urban Diversity | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0 |
| 36 | Working Diverse Urbanites | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0 |
| 13 | Affluent Educated Urbanites | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTALS | 17,522 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100 |

Prepared For: The Village Church 736 McCourry Blvd ncline Village, NV 89451

Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes

Description
■ Indicates the study area percentage is more than 1.1 times the U.S. average
$\downarrow$ Indicates the study area percentage is less than 0.9 times the U.S. average

Study Area

## FAITH INVOLVEMENT INDICATOR

## Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Be:

| $\downarrow$ Strongly Involved with Their Faith | $28.6 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | 81 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\downarrow$ Somewhat Involved with Their Faith | $24.1 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | 81 |
| $\mathbf{\text { Not Involved with Their Faith }}$ | $48.0 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ | 138 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Have: |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ Increased Their Involvement with Their Faith in the Last 10 Years | $17.9 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | 81 |
| Decreased Their Involvement with Their Faith in the Last 10 Years | $21.7 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | 92 |

## RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE INDICATOR

Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Prefer:

| - Adventist | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 137 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\downarrow$ Baptist | 7.3\% | 16.1\% | 45 |
| $\downarrow$ Catholic | 19.9\% | 23.7\% | 84 |
| $\triangle$ Congregational | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 114 |
| - Eastern Religions (Buddhist/Hindu/Shinto/slam) | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 191 |
| Episcopal | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 96 |
| $\downarrow$ Holiness | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 32 |
| Jehovah's Witnesses | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 90 |
| Judaism | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 102 |
| Lutheran | 6.6\% | 7.2\% | 92 |
| $\downarrow$ Methodist | 5.4\% | 10.1\% | 54 |
| - Mormon | 5.4\% | 1.8\% | 308 |
| - New Age | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 225 |
| - Non-Denominational/ Independent | 10.2\% | 6.9\% | 147 |
| $\downarrow$ Orthodox | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 77 |
| Pentecostal | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 97 |
| © Presbyterian/Reformed | 5.5\% | 4.6\% | 120 |
| - Unitarian / Universalist | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 141 |
| - Interested but No Preference | 5.5\% | 3.9\% | 141 |
| - Not Interested and No Preference | 18.4\% | 11.1\% | 166 |
| - Likely to Have Changed Their Preference in the Last 10 Years | 19.1\% | 16.8\% | 114 |

## LEADERSHIP PREFERENCE INDICATOR

## Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Prefer A Leader Who:

| Tells them what to do | $5.2 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | 130 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\downarrow$ Lets them do what they want and is supportive | $9.6 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | 82 |
| Lets them do what they want and stays out of the way | $5.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | 104 |
| Works with them on deciding what to do and helps them do it | $80.2 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | 101 |


|  | The Gommunity <br> "Whoisouthere?" |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Prepared For: <br>  The Village Church <br> Date: $12 / 22 / 2016$ I36 McCourry Blvd <br>  Incline Village, NV 89451 | Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes |  |  |
| Description <br> - Indicates the study area percentage is more than 1.1 times the U.S. average $\downarrow$ Indicates the study area percentage is less than 0.9 times the U.S. average | Study Area | U.S. Average | U.S. Comparative Index |
| PRIMARY CONCERN INDICATOR |  |  |  |
| Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Be Primarily Concerned With: |  |  |  |
| THE BASICS: |  |  |  |
| Maintaining Personal Health | 40.0\% | 43.5\% | 92 |
| $\downarrow$ Finding/Providing Health Insurance | 22.4\% | 29.0\% | 77 |
| $\downarrow$ Day-to-Day Financial Worries | 27.9\% | 31.6\% | 88 |
| Finding Employment Opportunities | 14.0\% | 14.4\% | 97 |
| Finding Affordable Housing | 10.8\% | 11.3\% | 95 |
| $\downarrow$ Providing Adequate Food | 5.8\% | 8.6\% | 68 |
| Finding Child Care | 6.2\% | 6.3\% | 98 |
| FAMILY PROBLEMS: |  |  |  |
| Dealing With Alcohol/Drug Abuse | 15.1\% | 16.7\% | 90 |
| Dealing With Teen / Child Problems | 19.7\% | 20.7\% | 95 |
| Finding/Providing Aging Parent Care | 17.1\% | 15.5\% | 110 |
| $\downarrow$ Dealing With Abusive Relationships | 9.3\% | 11.4\% | 82 |
| $\downarrow$ Dealing With Divorce | 3.7\% | 4.5\% | 83 |
| COMMUNITY PROBLEMS: |  |  |  |
| Neighborhood Crime and Safety | 27.0\% | 27.0\% | 100 |
| Finding/Providing Good Schools | 25.6\% | 23.5\% | 109 |
| Dealing with Problems in Schools | 12.7\% | 13.6\% | 93 |
| Dealing With Racial / Ethnic Prejudice | 12.1\% | 13.1\% | 93 |
| $\triangle$ Dealing With Neighborhood Gangs | 10.6\% | 8.5\% | 125 |
| $\triangle$ Dealing with Social Injustice | 13.4\% | 11.3\% | 118 |
| HOPES AND DREAMS: |  |  |  |
| $\triangle$ Achieving Long-term Financial Security | 57.5\% | 50.6\% | 114 |
| - Finding Time for Recreation/Leisure | 30.6\% | 25.3\% | 121 |
| Finding Better Quality Healthcare | 22.0\% | 23.9\% | 92 |
| Finding A Satisfying Job / Career | 20.1\% | 19.3\% | 104 |
| Finding Retirement Opportunities | 18.2\% | 18.9\% | 96 |
| - Achieving A Fulfilling Marriage | 26.9\% | 22.3\% | 121 |
| - Developing Parenting Skills | 17.5\% | 14.7\% | 119 |
| - Achieving Educational Objectives | 8.7\% | 7.5\% | 116 |
| SPIRITUAL / PERSONAL: |  |  |  |
| Dealing With Stress | 29.2\% | 29.8\% | 98 |
| Finding Companionship | 16.2\% | 17.3\% | 94 |
| $\downarrow$ Finding A Good Church | 10.5\% | 15.2\% | 69 |
| $\downarrow$ Finding Spiritual Teaching | 10.2\% | 12.9\% | 79 |
| - Finding Life Direction | 16.1\% | 14.0\% | 115 |

Date: 12/22/2016

Prepared For The Village Church 736 McCourry Blvd ncline Village, NV 89451

Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes

## Description

^ Indicates the study area percentage is more than 1.1 times the U.S. average
Indicates the study area percentage is less than 0.9 times the U.S. average

Study Area

## KEY VALUES INDICATOR

Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Agree With the Following Statements:

| GOD: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "I believe there is a God" | 82.0\% | 84.5\% | 97 |
| "God is actively involved in the world including nations and their governments" | 57.6\% | 63.8\% | 90 |
| SOCIETY: |  |  |  |
| "It is important to preserve the traditional American family structure" | 89.8\% | 91.5\% | 98 |
| "A healthy environment has become a national crisis" | 83.5\% | 82.8\% | 101 |
| "Public education is essential to the future of American society" | 94.7\% | 94.0\% | 101 |
| INSTITUTIONAL ROLES: |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ "Government should be the primary provider of human welfare services" | 43.0\% | 50.1\% | 86 |
| "The role of Churches / Synagogues is to help form and support moral values" | 79.0\% | 81.1\% | 97 |
| "Churches and religious organizations should provide more human services" | 59.7\% | 62.6\% | 95 |
| RACIAL / ETHNIC CHANGE: |  |  |  |
| "The United States must open its doors to all people groups" | 35.0\% | 36.3\% | 96 |
| "The changing racial / ethnic face of America is a threat to our national heritage" | 36.3\% | 36.3\% | 100 |
| HOUSEHOLD CONTRIBUTION INDICATOR |  |  |  |
| Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Contribute: |  |  |  |
| TO CHURCHES AND RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS: |  |  |  |
| More than \$100 per year | 62.7\% | 59.8\% | 105 |
| - More than \$500 per year | 34.5\% | 31.2\% | 111 |
| ^ More than \$1,000 per year | 20.5\% | 17.4\% | 118 |
| TO CHARITIES: |  |  |  |
| - More than \$100 per year | 40.8\% | 33.7\% | 121 |
| - More than \$500 per year | 12.3\% | 6.8\% | 181 |
| ^ More than \$1,000 per year | 4.4\% | 2.3\% | 191 |
| TO COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES: |  |  |  |
| - More than \$100 per year | 20.8\% | 16.1\% | 129 |
| - More than \$500 per year | 6.3\% | 4.3\% | 147 |
| More than $\$ 1,000$ per year | 4.0\% | 2.2\% | 182 |


|  |  |  | Part <br> $011] \\|$ In <br> hois out theref" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Prepared For: <br>  The Village Church <br> Date: $12 / 22 / 2016$ 736 McCourry Blvd <br>  Incline Village, NV 89451 |  | Study Area Defin Selected ZIP C |  |
| Description <br> - Indicates the study area percentage is more than 1.1 times the U.S. average $\downarrow$ Indicates the study area percentage is less than 0.9 times the U.S. average | Study Area | U.S. Average | U.S. <br> Comparative Index |
| CHURCH PROGRAM PREFERENCE INDICATOR |  |  |  |
| Estimated 2017 Households If Looking for a New Church Likely to Express as Most Important: |  |  |  |
| SPIRITUAL DEVELOPMENT: |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ Bible Study Discussion and Prayer Groups | 32.3\% | 41.1\% | 79 |
| Adult Theological Discussion Groups | 21.7\% | 22.5\% | 96 |
| $\downarrow$ Spiritual Retreats | 10.3\% | 11.6\% | 89 |
| PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT: |  |  |  |
| - Marriage Enrichment Opportunities | 17.0\% | 15.2\% | 112 |
| Parent Training Programs | 8.5\% | 7.8\% | 109 |
| $\downarrow$ Twelve Step Programs | 2.5\% | 3.5\% | 71 |
| $\downarrow$ Divorce Recovery | 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 83 |
| COMMUNITY/SOCIAL SERVICES: |  |  |  |
| Personal or Family Counseling | 20.4\% | 22.5\% | 90 |
| $\downarrow$ Care for the Terminally III | 12.4\% | 15.7\% | 79 |
| $\downarrow$ Food and Clothing Resources | 7.8\% | 11.1\% | 70 |
| Day Care Services | 6.0\% | 6.1\% | 99 |
| Church Sponsored Day-School | 5.9\% | 5.7\% | 103 |
| RECREATION: |  |  |  |
| - Youth Social Programs | 34.4\% | 29.7\% | 116 |
| Family Activities and Outings | 34.6\% | 32.8\% | 105 |
| Active Retirement Programs | 24.9\% | 26.8\% | 93 |
| $\triangle$ Cultural Programs (Music, Drama, Art) | 24.2\% | 18.9\% | 128 |
| $\triangle$ Sports or Camping | 8.1\% | 6.3\% | 127 |


| SUMMARY |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\downarrow$ Spiritual Development Index | 86 |
| Personal Development Index | 104 |
| $\downarrow$ Community/Social Services Index | 86 |
| Recreation Index | 110 |


|  |  |  | ho is out hatef: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Prepared For: <br>  The Village Church <br> Date: $12 / 22 / 2016$ 736 McCourry Blvd <br>  Incline Village, NV 89451 |  | Study Area Defin Selected ZIP C |  |
| Description <br> ■ Indicates the study area percentage is more than 1.1 times the U.S. average $\downarrow$ Indicates the study area percentage is less than 0.9 times the U.S. average | Study Area | U.S. Average | U.S. Comparative Index |
| WORSHIP STYLE INDICATOR |  |  |  |
| Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Prefer Church Worship which is: |  |  |  |
| PART 1: |  |  |  |
| A. Emotionally Uplifting | 24.0\% | 26.4\% | 91 |
| © B. Intellectually Challenging | 14.5\% | 11.1\% | 131 |
| C. Both $A$ and $B$ | 37.7\% | 39.2\% | 96 |
| D. No Preference or Not Interested | 23.8\% | 23.4\% | 102 |
| PART 2: |  |  |  |
| A. Traditional/Forma//Ceremonial | 20.3\% | 20.2\% | 100 |
| $\triangle$ B. Contemporary/Informal | 30.3\% | 26.3\% | 115 |
| C. Both $A$ and $B$ | 23.8\% | 26.5\% | 90 |
| D. No Preference or Not Interested | 25.3\% | 26.9\% | 94 |
| MUSIC STYLE INDICATOR |  |  |  |
| Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Prefer Church Music which is: |  |  |  |
| PART 1: |  |  |  |
| A. Traditional | 23.9\% | 24.4\% | 98 |
| $\triangle$ B. Contemporary | 23.3\% | 19.7\% | 118 |
| C. Both $A$ and $B$ | 29.3\% | 31.1\% | 94 |
| D. No Preference or Not Interested | 23.4\% | 24.8\% | 95 |
| PART 2: |  |  |  |
| A. Performed by Others | 20.3\% | 18.7\% | 108 |
| B. Participatory | 22.8\% | 22.9\% | 99 |
| C. Both $A$ and $B$ | 31.6\% | 32.2\% | 98 |
| D. No Preference or Not Interested | 25.1\% | 26.2\% | 96 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  \& \&  \&  <br>
\hline Prepared For:
The Village Church
Date: $12 / 22 / 2016$

Incline Village, NV 894451 \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes} <br>

\hline | Description |
| :--- |
| ■ Indicates the study area percentage is more than 1.1 times the U.S. average $\downarrow$ Indicates the study area percentage is less than 0.9 times the U.S. average | \& Study Area \& U.S. Average \& | U.S. |
| :--- |
| Comparative Index | <br>

\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{MISSION EMPHASIS INDICATOR} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Prefer Church Involvement and Mission Emphasis Focused On:} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{PART 1:} <br>
\hline A. Community \& 22.9\% \& 22.0\% \& 104 <br>
\hline B. Personal Spiritual Development \& 15.5\% \& 14.3\% \& 108 <br>
\hline C. Both $A$ and $B$ \& 35.9\% \& 37.4\% \& 96 <br>
\hline D. No Preference or Not Interested \& 25.5\% \& 26.3\% \& 97 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{PART 2:} <br>
\hline A. Global Mission \& 6.8\% \& 6.2\% \& 110 <br>
\hline B. Local Mission \& 34.0\% \& 33.3\% \& 102 <br>
\hline C. Both $A$ and $B$ \& 29.0\% \& 30.1\% \& 96 <br>
\hline D. No Preference or Not Interested \& 29.9\% \& 30.4\% \& 98 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{CHURCH ARCHITECTURE INDICATOR} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Estimated 2017 Households Likely to Prefer Church Architecture which is:} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{PART 1:} <br>
\hline $\downarrow$ A. Traditional \& 23.5\% \& 26.6\% \& 88 <br>
\hline - B. Contemporary \& 20.1\% \& 15.9\% \& 126 <br>
\hline C. Both A and B \& 31.9\% \& 32.3\% \& 99 <br>
\hline D. No Preference or Not Interested \& 24.3\% \& 25.1\% \& 97 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{PART 2:} <br>
\hline $\downarrow$ A. Somber/Serious \& 6.9\% \& 9.4\% \& 74 <br>
\hline $\triangle$ B. Light and Airy \& 41.9\% \& 34.7\% \& 121 <br>
\hline C. Both $A$ and $B$ \& 25.0\% \& 27.7\% \& 90 <br>
\hline D. No Preference or Not Interested \& 26.4\% \& 28.2\% \& 94 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



| reference | The Gong rejation <br> "Whoarewe?" |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Prepared For <br> The Village Ch  <br> Date: $12 / 22 / 2016$ 736 McCoury <br> Incline Village, NV  | Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes |  |  |  |
| Description | Congregational Respondents |  | Community Study Area Average | Community Comparative |
| $\downarrow$ Indicates the congregation is less than 0.8 times the community average | Number | Percent | or Not Available | (100=Average) |
| Total Congregational Respondents / Community Population | 91 | 100.0\% | 43,197 |  |
| Est. Respondent Households (HH) / Community Households | 67 | 100.0\% | 17,513 |  |
| SURVEY SECTION ONE: CHURCH PARTICIPATION (CONTINUED) |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Denomination/Tradition you were raised in? | 91 | 100.0\% | NA |  |
| A. Adventist | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| B. Baptist | 9 | 9.9\% |  |  |
| C. Buddhist | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| D. Catholic | 11 | 12.1\% |  |  |
| E. Congregational | 5 | 5.5\% |  |  |
| F. Episcopal | 3 | 3.3\% |  |  |
| G. Holiness | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| H. Islam | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| I. Jehovah's Witness | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| J. Judaism | 1 | 1.1\% |  |  |
| K. Lutheran | 7 | 7.7\% |  |  |
| L. Methodist | 11 | 12.1\% |  |  |
| M. Mormon | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| N. Non-Denominational/Independent | 5 | 5.5\% |  |  |
| O. Orthodox | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| P. Pentecostal | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| Q. Presbyterian/Reformed | 31 | 34.1\% | NA |  |
| R. Unitarian/Universalist | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| S. Other | 4 | 4.4\% |  |  |
| T. Not raised in any tradition | 4 | 4.4\% | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 5a. Faith Involvement Level Now (HH) | 66 | 98.5\% |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ A. Not Involved (1 to 3) | 2 | 3.0\% | 48.0\% | 6 |
| - B. Somewhat Involved (4 to 7) | 23 | 34.8\% | 24.1\% | 145 |
| $\triangle$ C. Strongly Involved (8 to 10) | 42 | 63.6\% | 28.6\% | 223 |
| Average Level ( 1 to 10) | 7.8 |  |  |  |
| Median Level (1 to 10) | 8.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 5b. Faith Involvement Level Ten Years Ago (HH) | 62 | 92.5\% | NA |  |
| A. Not Involved (1 to 3) | 10 | 16.1\% | NA |  |
| B. Somewhat Involved (4 to 7) | 28 | 45.2\% |  |  |
| C. Strongly Involved (8 to 10) | 25 | 40.3\% |  |  |
| Average Level (1 to 10) | 6.5 |  | NA |  |
| Median Level (1 to 10) | 7.0 |  |  |  |


|  | The Gongregation <br> "Whonewew" |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: 12/22/2016 <br> 736 McCourry Incline Village, NV |  | Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes |  |  |
| Description | Congregational Respondents |  | Community Study Area Average | Community Comparative |
| $\downarrow$ Indicates the congregation is less than 0.8 times the community average | Number | Percent | or Not Available | ( $100=$ Average) |
| Total Congregational Respondents / Community Population | 91 | 100.0\% | 43,197 |  |
| Est. Respondent Households (HH) / Community Households | 67 | 100.0\% | 17,513 |  |
| SURVEY SECTION ONE: CHURCH PARTICIPATION (CONTINUED) |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Congregational involvement change last 2 years? | 91 | 100.0\% | NA |  |
| A. Increased | 43 | 47.3\% |  |  |
| B. Decreased | 7 | 7.7\% |  |  |
| C. Remained the same | 38 | 41.8\% | NA |  |
| D. Does not apply | 3 | 3.3\% | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 6a. Reasons for increased involvement | 47 | 51.6\% |  |  |
| A. More time available | 15 | 31.9\% |  |  |
| B. Because of children | 3 | 6.4\% | NA |  |
| C. Better health | 3 | 6.4\% | NA |  |
| D. Accepted office/responsibility in church | 23 | 48.9\% |  |  |
| E. More positive attitude toward the church | 12 | 25.5\% | NA |  |
| F. Stronger faith | 25 | 53.2\% | NA |  |
| G. Other | 9 | 19.1\% | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 6b. Reasons for decreased involvement | 13 | 14.3\% | NA |  |
| A. Less time available | 8 | 61.5\% | NA |  |
| B. Because of children | 2 | 15.4\% | NA |  |
| C. Health problems | 6 | 46.2\% |  |  |
| D. Give up office/responsibility in church | 4 | 30.8\% | NA |  |
| E. More negative attitude toward church | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| F. Stronger faith | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| G. Other | 1 | 7.7\% |  |  |



|  | $\text { Part }{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The Gongreyation <br> "Whoarewef" |  |  |  |
| Prepared For: The Village Church <br> Date: 12/22/2016 <br> 736 McCourry Blvd Incline Village, NV 89451 |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Congregational Respondents |  | Community Study Area Average | Community Comparative Index <br> (100=Average) |
| - Indicates the congregation is more than 1.2 times the community average $\downarrow$ Indicates the congregation is less than 0.8 times the community average | Number | Percent | NA = Not Applicable or Not Available |  |
| Total Congregational Respondents / Community Population | 91 | 100.0\% | 43,197 |  |
| Est. Respondent Households (HH) / Community Households | 67 | 100.0\% | 17,513 |  |
| SURVEY SECTION TWO: CHURCH PREFERENCES |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Top 3 Church Program/Service Preferences (HH) | 62 | 92.5\% | NA |  |
| Spiritual Development: |  |  |  |  |
| - 1. Bible study discussion and prayer groups | 40 | 64.5\% | 32.3\% | 199 |
| - 2. Adult Theological discussions | 26 | 41.9\% | 21.7\% | 193 |
| 3. Spiritual Retreats | 6 | 9.7\% | 10.3\% | 94 |
| Personal Development: |  |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ 4. Marriage Enrichment opportunities | 8 | 12.9\% | 17.0\% | 76 |
| $\downarrow$ 5. Parent Training programs | 1 | 1.6\% | 8.5\% | 19 |
| ^ 6. Twelve Step recovery programs | 5 | 8.1\% | 2.5\% | 329 |
| $\downarrow$ 7. Divorce recovery | 0 | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0 |
| Community/Social Services: |  |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ 8. Personal or family counseling | 5 | 8.1\% | 20.4\% | 40 |
| $\downarrow$ 9. Care for the terminally ill | 5 | 8.1\% | 12.4\% | 65 |
| - 10. Food and clothing resources | 14 | 22.6\% | 7.8\% | 290 |
| $\downarrow$ 11. Day care services | 1 | 1.6\% | 6.0\% | 27 |
| 12. Church sponsored day school | 3 | 4.8\% | 5.9\% | 83 |
| Recreation: |  |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ 13. Youth social programs | 14 | 22.6\% | 34.4\% | 66 |
| 14. Family activities/outings | 19 | 30.6\% | 34.6\% | 89 |
| 15. Active retirement programs | 13 | 21.0\% | 24.9\% | 84 |
| $\downarrow$ 16. Cultural programs (music, drama, art, etc.) | 10 | 16.1\% | 24.2\% | 67 |
| - 17. Sports or camping programs | 11 | 17.7\% | 8.1\% | 220 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a. Prefer Worship which is: (HH) | 67 | 100.0\% | NA |  |
| $\downarrow$ A. Emotionally uplifting | 8 | 11.9\% | 24.0\% | 50 |
| - B. Intellectually challenging | 26 | 38.8\% | 14.5\% | 268 |
| $\triangle$ C. Both A and B | 32 | 47.8\% | 37.7\% | 127 |
| $\downarrow$ D. No Preference/Not Interested | 1 | 1.5\% | 23.8\% | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2b. Prefer Worship which is: (HH) | 67 | 100.0\% | NA |  |
| $\downarrow$ A. Traditional/Formal/Ceremonial | 9 | 13.4\% | 20.3\% | 66 |
| ^ B. Contemporary/Informal | 28 | 41.8\% | 30.3\% | 138 |
| $\triangle$ C. Both A and B | 29 | 43.3\% | 23.8\% | 182 |
| $\downarrow$ D. No Preference/Not Interested | 1 | 1.5\% | 25.3\% | 6 |


| reference | Tarongridital |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Prepared For:  <br> The Village Church   <br> Date: $12 / 22 / 2016$ I36 McCoury Blvd  <br> Incline Village, NV 89451 Study Area Definition:  <br>    <br> Selected ZIP Codes   |  |  |  |  |
| Description <br> $\Delta$ Indicates the congregation is more than 1.2 times the community average $\downarrow$ Indicates the congregation is less than 0.8 times the community average | Congregational Respondents |  | Community Study Area Average NA $=$ Not Applicable or Not Available | Community Comparative Index <br> (100=Average) |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  |
| Total Congregational Respondents / Community Population | 91 | 100.0\% | 43,197 |  |
| Est. Respondent Households (HH) / Community Households | 67 | 100.0\% | 17,513 |  |
| SURVEY SECTION TWO: CHURCH PREFERENCES (CONTINUED) |  |  |  |  |
| 2c. Prefer Music which is: (HH) | 67 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ A. Traditional | 10 | 14.9\% | 23.9\% | 63 |
| $\triangle$ B. Contemporary | 24 | 35.8\% | 23.3\% | 154 |
| $\triangle$ C. Both A and B | 32 | 47.8\% | 29.3\% | 163 |
| $\downarrow$ D. No Preference/Not Interested | 1 | 1.5\% | 23.4\% | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2d. Prefer Music which is: (HH) | 67 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| A. Performed by others | 11 | 16.4\% | 20.3\% | 81 |
| - B. Participatory | 26 | 38.8\% | 22.8\% | 170 |
| $\triangle$ C. Both A and B | 29 | 43.3\% | 31.6\% | 137 |
| $\downarrow$ D. No Preference/Not Interested | 1 | 1.5\% | 25.2\% | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2e. Prefer Mission Emphasis which is: (HH) | 67 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| $\Delta$ A. Community focused | 19 | 28.4\% | 22.9\% | 124 |
| $\downarrow$ B. Personal spiritual development focused | 8 | 11.9\% | 15.5\% | 77 |
| $\triangle$ C. Both A and B | 38 | 56.7\% | 35.9\% | 158 |
| $\downarrow$ D. No Preference/Not Interested | 2 | 3.0\% | 25.5\% | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2f. Prefer Mission Emphasis which is: (HH) | 67 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| $\triangle$ A. Global mission | 7 | 10.4\% | 6.8\% | 153 |
| B. Local mission | 26 | 38.8\% | 34.0\% | 114 |
| $\triangle$ C. Both A and B | 34 | 50.7\% | 29.0\% | 175 |
| $\downarrow$ D. No Preference/Not Interested | 0 | 0.0\% | 29.9\% | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2g. Prefer Church Architecture which is: (HH) | 67 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| A. Traditional | 15 | 22.4\% | 23.5\% | 95 |
| B. Contemporary | 12 | 17.9\% | 20.1\% | 89 |
| $\triangle$ C. Both A and B | 37 | 55.2\% | 31.9\% | 173 |
| $\downarrow$ D. No Preference/Not Interested | 3 | 4.5\% | 24.3\% | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2h. Prefer Church Architecture which is: (HH) | 67 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| $\triangle$ A. Somber/Serious | 6 | 9.0\% | 6.9\% | 129 |
| B. Light and Airy | 30 | 44.8\% | 41.9\% | 107 |
| $\triangle$ C. Both A and B | 27 | 40.3\% | 25.0\% | 161 |
| $\downarrow$ D. No Preference/Not Interested | 4 | 6.0\% | 26.4\% | 23 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Prepared For: <br>  The Village Church <br> Date: $12 / 22 / 2016$ 736 McCourry Blvd <br>  Incline Village, NV 89451 |  |  |  |  |
| Description <br> - Indicates the congregation is more than 1.2 times the community average <br> $\downarrow$ Indicates the congregation is less than 0.8 times the community average | Congregational Respondents |  | Community Study Area Average NA = Not Applicable or Not Available | Community Comparative Index <br> (100=Average) |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  |
| Total Congregational Respondents / Community Population | 91 | 100.0\% | 43,197 |  |
| Est. Respondent Households (HH) / Community Households | 67 | 100.0\% | 17,513 |  |
| SURVEY SECTION THREE: PRIMARY CONCERNS |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Top 6 Concerns (HH) | 66 | 98.5\% | NA |  |
| The Basics: |  |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ 1. Personal health | 17 | 25.8\% | 40.0\% | 64 |
| $\downarrow$ 2. Health Insurance | 4 | 6.1\% | 22.4\% | 27 |
| $\downarrow$ 3. Day to day financial worries | 5 | 7.6\% | 27.9\% | 27 |
| $\downarrow$ 4. Employment opportunities | 6 | 9.1\% | 14.0\% | 65 |
| 5. Affordable housing | 7 | 10.6\% | 10.8\% | 99 |
| $\downarrow$ 6. Adequate food | 2 | 3.0\% | 5.8\% | 52 |
| $\downarrow$ 7. Child care | 2 | 3.0\% | 6.2\% | 49 |
| Family Problems: |  |  |  |  |
| - 8. Alcohol/drug abuse | 14 | 21.2\% | 15.1\% | 140 |
| 9. Teen/child problems | 11 | 16.7\% | 19.7\% | 85 |
| 10. Aging parent care | 11 | 16.7\% | 17.1\% | 98 |
| - 11. Abusive relationships | 8 | 12.1\% | 9.3\% | 131 |
| 12. Divorce | 2 | 3.0\% | 3.7\% | 82 |
| Community Problems: |  |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ 13. Neighborhood crime and safety | 8 | 12.1\% | 27.0\% | 45 |
| 14. Good schools | 16 | 24.2\% | 25.6\% | 95 |
| ¢ 15. Problems in schools | 14 | 21.2\% | 12.7\% | 168 |
| ^ 16. Racial/ethnic prejudice | 12 | 18.2\% | 12.1\% | 150 |
| $\downarrow$ 17. Neighborhood gangs | 2 | 3.0\% | 10.6\% | 29 |
| - 18. Social injustice | 17 | 25.8\% | 13.4\% | 193 |
| Hopes and Dreams: |  |  |  |  |
| $\downarrow$ 19. Long-term financial security | 14 | 21.2\% | 57.5\% | 37 |
| 20. Time for recreation/leisure | 17 | 25.8\% | 30.6\% | 84 |
| $\downarrow$ 21. Better quality healthcare | 8 | 12.1\% | 22.0\% | 55 |
| $\downarrow$ 22. Satisfying job/career | 9 | 13.6\% | 20.1\% | 68 |
| 23. Retirement opportunities | 11 | 16.7\% | 18.2\% | 92 |
| - 24. Fulfilling marriage | 29 | 43.9\% | 26.9\% | 163 |
| $\downarrow$ 25. Parenting skills | 7 | 10.6\% | 17.5\% | 61 |
| - 26. Educational objectives | 7 | 10.6\% | 8.7\% | 122 |
| Spiritual/Personal: |  |  |  |  |
| - 27. Stress | 23 | 34.8\% | 29.2\% | 119 |
| 28. Companionship | 9 | 13.6\% | 16.2\% | 84 |
| - 29. Good church | 34 | 51.5\% | 10.5\% | 493 |
| $\pm 30$. Spiritual teaching | 35 | 53.0\% | 10.2\% | 519 |
| - 31. Life direction | 25 | 37.9\% | 16.1\% | 236 |


|  | The Gongreyation <br> Whorerewe? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared For <br> The Village Chu <br> Date: 12/22/2016 <br> 736 McCourry Incline Village, NV | Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes |  |  |  |
| Description | Congregational Respondents |  | Community Study Area Average | Community Comparative Index <br> (100=Average) |
| - Indicates the congregation is more than 1.2 times the community average <br> $\downarrow$ Indicates the congregation is less than 0.8 times the community average | Number | Percent | NA = Not Applicable <br> or Not Available |  |
| Total Congregational Respondents / Community Population | 91 | 100.0\% | 43,197 |  |
| Est. Respondent Households (HH) / Community Households | 67 | 100.0\% | 17,513 |  |
| SURVEY SECTION FOUR: LIFE'S SATISFACTIONS |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Relationship with God | 88 | 96.7\% |  |  |
| A. Very Satisfied | 27 | 30.7\% |  |  |
| B. Satisfied | 51 | 58.0\% |  |  |
| C. Neither | 6 | 6.8\% |  |  |
| D. Dissatisfied | 4 | 4.5\% |  |  |
| E. Very Dissatisfied | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Overall Satisfaction (0 to 10) | 9 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Personal Spiritual Growth | 88 | 96.7\% |  |  |
| A. Very Satisfied | 14 | 15.9\% |  |  |
| B. Satisfied | 51 | 58.0\% |  |  |
| C. Neither | 14 | 15.9\% |  |  |
| D. Dissatisfied | 9 | 10.2\% |  |  |
| E. Very Dissatisfied | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Overall Satisfaction (0 to 10) | 8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Personal Bible Study and Prayer | 83 | 91.2\% |  |  |
| A. Very Satisfied | 13 | 15.7\% |  |  |
| B. Satisfied | 37 | 44.6\% |  |  |
| C. Neither | 17 | 20.5\% |  |  |
| D. Dissatisfied | 13 | 15.7\% |  |  |
| E. Very Dissatisfied | 3 | 3.6\% |  |  |
| Overall Satisfaction (0 to 10) | 6 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. My Health | 88 | 96.7\% |  |  |
| A. Very Satisfied | 18 | 20.5\% | NA |  |
| B. Satisfied | 41 | 46.6\% | NA |  |
| C. Neither | 12 | 13.6\% |  |  |
| D. Dissatisfied | 15 | 17.0\% |  |  |
| E. Very Dissatisfied | 2 | 2.3\% |  |  |
| Overall Satisfaction (0 to 10) | 7 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. My Job/Career | 65 | 71.4\% |  |  |
| A. Very Satisfied | 24 | 36.9\% | NA |  |
| B. Satisfied | 17 | 26.2\% | NA |  |
| C. Neither | 17 | 26.2\% | NA |  |
| D. Dissatisfied | 7 | 10.8\% |  |  |
| E. Very Dissatisfied | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| Overall Satisfaction (0 to 10) | 8 |  |  |  |






|  | The Gongreyation <br> "Whoarewe?" |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared For <br> The Village Ch <br> Date: 12/22/2016 <br> 736 McCoury <br> Incline Village, NV |  | Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes |  |  |
| Description | Congregational Respondents |  | Community Study Area Average | Community Comparative |
| $\downarrow$ Indicates the congregation is less than 0.8 times the community average | Number | Percent | or Not Available | (100=Average) |
| Total Congregational Respondents / Community Population | 91 | 100.0\% | 43,197 |  |
| Est. Respondent Households (HH) / Community Households | 67 | 100.0\% | 17,513 |  |
| SURVEY SECTION FIVE: BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED) |  |  |  |  |
| 2a. If married, does your spouse attend this church? | 71 | 78.0\% | NA |  |
| A. Yes | 62 | 87.3\% |  |  |
| B. No | 9 | 12.7\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2b. If married, did your spouse complete a survey? | 69 | 75.8\% |  |  |
| A. Yes | 48 | 69.6\% |  |  |
| B. No | 21 | 30.4\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2c. If married, is your spouse employed? | 67 | 73.6\% | NA |  |
| A. Yes, full time | 25 | 37.3\% |  |  |
| B. Yes, part-time | 9 | 13.4\% |  |  |
| C. No | 33 | 49.3\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3a. Households with children at home by Age Group (HH) | 18 | 26.9\% |  |  |
| A. 0 to 2 years old | 2 | 11.1\% |  |  |
| B. 3 to 5 years old | 2 | 11.1\% |  |  |
| C. Elementary | 2 | 11.1\% |  |  |
| D. Junior High/Middle School | 5 | 27.8\% |  |  |
| E. High School | 3 | 16.7\% |  |  |
| F. Post High School | 8 | 44.4\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3b. Actual number of children by Age Group | 30 | 44.8\% | NA |  |
| A. 0 to 2 years old | 2 | 6.7\% |  |  |
| B. 3 to 5 years old | 4 | 13.3\% |  |  |
| C. Elementary | 3 | 10.0\% |  |  |
| D. Junior High/Middle School | 5 | 16.7\% |  |  |
| E. High School | 5 | 16.7\% |  |  |
| F. Post High School | 11 | 36.7\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3c. Households with children by Marital Status (HH) | 18 | 26.9\% | NA |  |
| A. Married Couple | 16 | 88.9\% | 75.4\% | 118 |
| $\downarrow$ B. Single Male | 0 | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 0 |
| $\downarrow$ C. Single Female | 2 | 11.1\% | 14.0\% | 79 |
| $\downarrow$ D. Other | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0 |



| reference |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Prepared For: <br>  The Village Church <br> Date: $12 / 22 / 2016$ 736 McCourry Blvd <br>  Incline Village, NV 89451 |  |  |  |  |
| Description <br> - Indicates the congregation is more than 1.2 times the community average $\downarrow$ Indicates the congregation is less than 0.8 times the community average | Congregational Respondents |  | Community Study Area Average NA = Not Applicable or Not Available | Community Comparative Index <br> (100=Average) |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  |
| Total Congregational Respondents / Community Population | 91 | 100.0\% | 43,197 |  |
| Est. Respondent Households (HH) / Community Households | 67 | 100.0\% | 17,513 |  |
| SURVEY SECTION FIVE: BACKGROUND INFORMATION (CONTINUED) |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Employment Status (all that apply) | 89 | 97.8\% | NA |  |
| A. Employed full-time | 25 | 28.1\% |  |  |
| B. Employed part-time | 17 | 19.1\% |  |  |
| C. Retired | 46 | 51.7\% |  |  |
| D. Disabled | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| E. Full time "houseperson" / homemaker | 2 | 2.2\% |  |  |
| F. Part time "houseperson" / homemaker | 1 | 1.1\% |  |  |
| G. Full time student | 2 | 2.2\% | NA |  |
| H. Part time student | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| I. Unemployed, seeking employment | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| J. Unemployed, not in the job market | 1 | 1.1\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. If employed, what type of employment? | 39 | 42.9\% |  |  |
| ^ A. Executive and Managerial | 8 | 20.5\% | 15.8\% | 130 |
| - B. Professional Specialty | 14 | 35.9\% | 21.7\% | 166 |
| $\downarrow$ C. Technical Support | 1 | 2.6\% | 9.7\% | 26 |
| $\downarrow$ D. Sales | 3 | 7.7\% | 11.8\% | 65 |
| $\downarrow$ E. Administrative Support | 3 | 7.7\% | 11.3\% | 68 |
| $\downarrow$ F. Service: Private Household | 0 | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0 |
| $\downarrow$ G. Service: Protective | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0 |
| ^ H. Service: Other | 6 | 15.4\% | 7.6\% | 202 |
| ¢ I. Farming, Fishing, Forestry | 1 | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 7049 |
| $\downarrow$ J. Precision production and craft | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 0 |
| $\downarrow$ K. Machine operator | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0 |
| $\downarrow$ L. Transportation and material moving | 0 | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0 |
| - M. Laborer | 3 | 7.7\% | 3.7\% | 208 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Respondent Households by Top 10 Zip Codes (HH) | 66 | 98.5\% |  |  |
| 1. Zip Code 89451 - Incline Village, NV | 38 | 57.6\% | NA |  |
| 2. Zip Code 89450 | 7 | 10.6\% |  |  |
| 3. Zip Code 96143 - Kings Beach, CA | 6 | 9.1\% |  |  |
| 4. Zip Code 89511 - Reno, NV | 4 | 6.1\% |  |  |
| 5. Zip Code 96161 - Truckee, CA | 3 | 4.5\% |  |  |
| 6. Zip Code 96140 - Carnelian Bay, CA | 2 | 3.0\% |  |  |
| 7. Zip Code 89506 - Reno, NV | 2 | 3.0\% |  |  |
| 8. Zip Code 89521 - Reno, NV | 1 | 1.5\% |  |  |
| 9. Zip Code 89402 - Crystal Bay, NV | 1 | 1.5\% |  |  |
| 10. Zip Code 89448 - Zephyr Cove, NV | 1 | 1.5\% |  |  |



| reference | Ith Gong rejaition <br> "Whoarewe?" |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared For <br> The Village Chu <br> Date: 12/22/2016 <br> 736 McCourry Incline Village, NV | Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes |  |  |  |
| Description | Congregational Respondents |  | Community Study Area Average | Community Comparative Index <br> (100=Average) |
| 4 Indicates the congregation is more than 1.2 times the community average $\downarrow$ Indicates the congregation is less than 0.8 times the community average | Number | Percent | NA = Not Applicable or Not Available |  |
| Total Congregational Respondents / Community Population | 91 | 100.0\% | 43,197 |  |
| Est. Respondent Households (HH) / Community Households | 67 | 100.0\% | 17,513 |  |
| SURVEY SECTION SIX: RATING OUR CHURCH PROGRAMS |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Sunday Worship | 87 | 95.6\% | NA |  |
| A. Very Negative | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| B. Somewhat Negative | 1 | 1.1\% | NA |  |
| C. Neutral/Neither | 1 | 1.1\% | NA |  |
| D. Somewhat Positive | 8 | 9.2\% | NA |  |
| E. Very Positive | 77 | 88.5\% | NA |  |
| Overall Rating (0 to 10) | 10 |  | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Outdoor Worship | 81 | 89.0\% | NA |  |
| A. Very Negative | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| B. Somewhat Negative | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| C. Neutral/Neither | 4 | 4.9\% | NA |  |
| D. Somewhat Positive | 9 | 11.1\% | NA |  |
| E. Very Positive | 68 | 84.0\% | NA |  |
| Overall Rating (0 to 10) | 10 |  | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Praise Team/Music Ministry | 83 | 91.2\% | NA |  |
| A. Very Negative | 1 | 1.2\% | NA |  |
| B. Somewhat Negative | 4 | 4.8\% | NA |  |
| C. Neutral/Neither | 10 | 12.0\% | NA |  |
| D. Somewhat Positive | 16 | 19.3\% | NA |  |
| E. Very Positive | 52 | 62.7\% | NA |  |
| Overall Rating (0 to 10) | 7 |  | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Choir | 81 | 89.0\% | NA |  |
| A. Very Negative | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| B. Somewhat Negative | 9 | 11.1\% | NA |  |
| C. Neutral/Neither | 4 | 4.9\% | NA |  |
| D. Somewhat Positive | 18 | 22.2\% | NA |  |
| E. Very Positive | 50 | 61.7\% | NA |  |
| Overall Rating (0 to 10) | 7 |  | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Children's Sunday School | 43 | 47.3\% | NA |  |
| A. Very Negative | 0 | 0.0\% | NA |  |
| B. Somewhat Negative | 3 | 7.0\% | NA |  |
| C. Neutral/Neither | 7 | 16.3\% | NA |  |
| D. Somewhat Positive | 11 | 25.6\% | NA |  |
| E. Very Positive | 22 | 51.2\% | NA |  |
| Overall Rating (0 to 10) | 6 |  | NA |  |




Prepared For: The Village Church 736 McCourry Blvd ncline Village, NV 89451

| Congregational <br> Respondents |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number | Percent |
| 91 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 67 | $100.0 \%$ |

Study Area Definition: Selected ZIP Codes

| Community Study Area Average <br> NA $=$ Not Applicable <br> or Not Available | Community Comparative Index $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 43,197 |  |
| 17,513 |  |

SURVEY SECTION SIX: RAIING OUR CHURCH PROGRAMS (CONT.)

| 11. Church Service Opportunities | 71 | 78.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Very Negative | 0 | 0.0\% |
| B. Somewhat Negative | 2 | 2.8\% |
| C. Neutral/Neither | 7 | 9.9\% |
| D. Somewhat Positive | 19 | 26.8\% |
| E. Very Positive | 43 | 60.6\% |
| Overall Rating (0 to 10) | 8 |  |
|  |  |  |
| 12. Saturday Hikes | 45 | 49.5\% |
| A. Very Negative | 0 | 0.0\% |
| B. Somewhat Negative | 0 | 0.0\% |
| C. Neutral/Neither | 10 | 22.2\% |
| D. Somewhat Positive | 8 | 17.8\% |
| E. Very Positive | 27 | 60.0\% |
| Overall Rating (0 to 10) | 7 |  |
|  |  |  |
| 13. Social Outings - Reno Aces, Etc. | 45 | 49.5\% |
| A. Very Negative | 0 | 0.0\% |
| B. Somewhat Negative | 2 | 4.4\% |
| C. Neutral/Neither | 9 | 20.0\% |
| D. Somewhat Positive | 12 | 26.7\% |
| E. Very Positive | 22 | 48.9\% |
| Overall Rating (0 to 10) | 6 |  |
|  |  |  |
| 14. Women's Retreats | 33 | 36.3\% |
| A. Very Negative | 0 | 0.0\% |
| B. Somewhat Negative | 1 | 3.0\% |
| C. Neutral/Neither | 10 | 30.3\% |
| D. Somewhat Positive | 3 | 9.1\% |
| E. Very Positive | 19 | 57.6\% |
| Overall Rating (0 to 10) | 6 |  |
|  |  |  |
| 15. Deacon's Ministry | 41 | 45.1\% |
| A. Very Negative | 0 | 0.0\% |
| B. Somewhat Negative | 0 | 0.0\% |
| C. Neutral/Neither | 4 | 9.8\% |
| D. Somewhat Positive | 7 | 17.1\% |
| E. Very Positive | 30 | 73.2\% |
| Overall Rating (0 to 10) | 9 |  |
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Prepared For:

